

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/027,457	COATNEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DJENANE M. BAYARD	2444	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) DJENANE M. BAYARD. (3) _____.

(2) Shannen Delaney. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2009 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9, 17, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 9, 17 and 20 in order to advance the prosecution of the instant application. This was based upon the examiner receiving prior approval from her SPE for recommend amendments.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)